

|   |                                   |                         |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>            | <b>Applicant(s)</b>     |
|   | 09/686,200                        | MOMOHARA, TOMOMI        |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>VINH P. NGUYEN | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2829 |

**All Participants:**

(1) VINH P. NGUYEN.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Schmelzer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 December 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called Mr. Schmelzer to request a new supplemental declaration after the amendment filed on 04/25/06. Mr. Schmelzer agreed to submit the new supplemental declaration.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)